

“Developing Realistic Strategies and Viable Options to Provide Comprehensive and Affordable health Insurance Coverage for All Michigan Citizens”

Meeting Minutes

Meeting Name: Models Development Workgroup

Date & Time: Wednesday, November 9, 1-4pm

Location: AARP Michigan, 309 N. Washington Square, Ste 110, Lansing

Present: Ken Miller, Department of Community Health; Amy Upston, Calhoun County Department of Public Health; Ellen Rabinowitz, Washtenaw Health Plan; Dave Cluley, Michigan Association of Health Underwriters; Collen Sproul, Health Plus of Michigan; Christine Romcala; Lary Wells, Michigan League of Human Services; Nancy Lindman, Michigan 2-1-1; Rick Nowakowski, Wayne County Four Star; Eileen Ellis, Health Management Associates; Lonnie Barnett, Department of Community Health; John Freeman, SEIU; Andy Kruse, Genesys Health System; Jackie Doig, Center for Civil Justice; Margaret Meyers, Mercy Primary Care Center; Gary Petroni, SEMHA/CPH; Ellen Speckman-Randall, Department of Community Health; Greg Cline, Trinity Health; Jeff Fortenbacker, Access Health; Lynda Zeller, Kent Health Plan; Kim Hodge, Paraprofessional Healthcare Institute; Del Malloch, Jackson Health Plan Corp-3-share; Paul Duguay, Michigan Association of Health Plans; Michelle Munson-McCorry, Complete Compassionate Care; Susan Steinke, MQCCC; Jennifer Wood-Tayler; Hollis Turnham, Paraprofessional Healthcare Institute; Don VeCasey, Michigan Consumer Healthcare Coalition; Tameshia Bridges, Paraprofessional Healthcare Institute; Don McMahon, Department of Community Health, Tyffany Shadd-Coleman, BCBSM; Sheryl Lowe, BCBSM

On Conference Call: N/A

Action Item

Item	Responsible	Deadline
Please contact Angela Awrey for any administrative changes	All	Ongoing
The next Models Development meeting will be held on Tuesday, November 22 nd .	All	
A presentation reviewing the EMETs will be given on December 5 th to the Advisory Council. The PowerPoint presentations should be 10 minutes long and should not have more than 3 bullets per pages. Whenever possible, presentations should use existing data on uninsured.	All	December 5 th

“Developing Realistic Strategies and Viable Options to Provide Comprehensive and Affordable health Insurance Coverage for All Michigan Citizens”

Minutes

Topic	Discussion	Conclusions
EMET Presentation	Basic Benefit/Specific Subpopulation Group	<p><i>Summary:</i> County Health Plans (CHP) have been around for quite some time. The Ingham Health Plan (IHP) represented a breakthrough in financing. The concept made it possible to use qualified local dollars to draw down Medicaid match from the government. The IHP then identified a hospital eligible for a special DSH (S-DSH) payment. This was the vehicle that returned money to the community.</p>
Detailed Group Presentation of EMET	Basic Benefit/Specific Subpopulation Group	<p>All CHP's are organized as non-profit corporations and have community boards. This model is being used by 26 County Plans in 74 counties. CHPs operate 3 types of coverage: Basic Coverage, Small Employer (3 share) and Volunteer Networks.</p> <p><i>People Covered:</i> Low-income people who generally have income under 200% of FPL.</p> <p><i>Portability and Continuity of Care:</i> CHPs operate in a county or multi-county region. The elements of the CHP include outreach, enrollment, eligibility determination, health assessment, assignment to PCP, utilization review and case management.</p> <p><i>Benefits:</i> Primary care, specialty care, outpatient lab, x-ray, and prescription drugs. Outpatient and inpatient care are not covered unless they operate a small employer subsidy program. Volunteer models render episodic, primary and specialty care and use paid staff to provide other services. Case management and health education is provided under all models.</p> <p><i>Quality of care/Effect on Delivery System:</i> Will promote managed care principles; use of health appraisals will reduce health burdens on individual and society; enrollment and issuance of ID card will promote sense of belonging.</p> <p><i>Resource Costs:</i> Benefits society by providing access to depression, diabetes and hypertension medication. Other cost savings include fewer ER</p>

“Developing Realistic Strategies and Viable Options to Provide Comprehensive and Affordable health Insurance Coverage for All Michigan Citizens”

Detailed Group Presentation of EMET	Basic Benefit/Specific Subpopulation Group	<p>visits and preventable hospital stays.</p> <p><i>Budgetary Cost:</i> S-DSH allocated to CHPs totals \$49 million. Typical subsidy for Basic and Small Employer model is \$60 per month. Total cost for Small Employer Model is \$160-\$200 per person per month. Upper limit of enrollment given current allocation is approximately 70,000 people.</p> <p><i>Cost Containment:</i> Basic and Small Employer model generally reimburses providers at Medicaid rate. Some small employers use other payment schedules. Group expressed concern that this may restrict provider networks.</p> <p><i>Implementation and Administration:</i> Most CHPs contract with a TPA for “back room” functions which include: Maintenance of enrollment rosters, formulary mgmt, pharmacy benefit mgmt, case mgmt and control of high cost users. MDCH provides a measure of accountability for good performance.</p> <p><i>Access to Coverage and Subsidies:</i> Enrollment is limited to people with income at or below 200% of poverty.</p> <p><i>Financing:</i> CHPs are financed through a special DSH (S-DSH) mechanism. Net financing is \$49 million and requires transfer of qualified local funds to draw down a Medicaid match.</p> <p><i>Consumer Choice:</i> Medicaid rates make it difficult to organized provider networks. Choices of providers vary among programs.</p> <p><i>Key Tradeoffs:</i> Most people covered under CHP model rely on hospital charity of cover inpatient and non-covered hospital services. An argument can be made that CHPs add to the cost of uncompensated care by increasing procedures covered by charity policies. As an alternative to S-DSH payment some hospitals would prefer that the state increase the provider tax to draw down matching funds.</p>
-------------------------------------	--	--

“Developing Realistic Strategies and Viable Options to Provide Comprehensive and Affordable health Insurance Coverage for All Michigan Citizens”

Detailed Group Presentation of EMET	Universal Coverage	<p><i>Coverage:</i> Everyone will receive coverage although the uninsured will be phased in first. There will be no means test and insurance will not be related to employment.</p> <p><i>Benefits:</i> Primary and preventative care, defined pharmacy benefit, SA/MH Rx, dental, hospitalization, specific plans for targeted illness (ex. Diabetes) and care management.</p> <p><i>Quality of Care/ Effect on Delivery:</i> Promote evidence-based medicine with incentives, use pay for performance and disease-based protocols.</p> <p><i>Cost:</i> Anticipate greater cost initially, will lessen thereafter. Cost containment by negotiating Rx prices, evidence-based medicine, disease based protocols and less overhead (because fewer insurers).</p> <p><i>Implementation:</i> Standardize all forms. Legislation to transfer other programs is needed. Possibly to expand Medicaid or 3-share before full implementation of Universal Coverage.</p> <p><i>Fairness:</i> Fairness is increased because everyone will have access to insurance. Administrative cost is decreased for all.</p> <p><i>Funding:</i> Reserves for present carriers, not as necessary. Reserves would be used to expand coverage. Group expressed concerns that reserves would not be available to expand coverage.</p> <p><i>Equity:</i> Everyone would have set benefits with ability to buy more. No loss of health insurance with job loss.</p> <p><i>Consumer Choice:</i> Consumers given list of companies to choose from. May select any provider.</p> <p><i>Tradeoffs:</i> End some programs to increase funds for health insurance, greater regulation of provider pricing. Employers lose “control” of health insurance. Health insurance no longer part of</p>
-------------------------------------	---------------------------	--

“Developing Realistic Strategies and Viable Options to Provide Comprehensive and Affordable health Insurance Coverage for All Michigan Citizens”

Detailed Group Presentation of EMET	Universal Coverage	collective bargaining.
-------------------------------------	---------------------------	------------------------